



The Role of Strategic Budgeting in Enhancing Financial Performance and Corporate Adaptability in the Era of Global Economic Dynamics

Nanda Purna Wirawan¹, Darnilawati^{2*}, Wali Saputra³

^{1,2,3} Department of Islamic Economics, Faculty of Sharia and Law, Sultan Syarif Kasim State Islamic University, Riau, Indonesia

Email Correspondent

darnilawati@uin-suska.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received Jan 10, 2026

Revised Jan 15, 2026

Accepted Jan 21, 2026

Available online Jan 26, 2026

Keywords:

Strategic Budgeting, Digital Technology, ERP, AI, Sustainable Budgeting, Sustainability, Financial Management



This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.

Copyright © 2024 by Author.

Published by UIN Suska Riau

ABSTRACT

This research aims to analyze the transformation of budgeting systems from traditional administrative functions into adaptive, innovative, and sustainable strategic instruments within modern financial management. Using a qualitative library research approach, this study explores relevant literature on strategic budgeting, digital technology integration, and the concept of sustainable budgeting. The findings reveal that budgeting has evolved beyond a financial control tool into a core mechanism for strategic decision-making. The integration of technologies such as Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP), Artificial Intelligence (AI), and machine learning enhances the efficiency, accuracy, and transparency of budgeting processes. Furthermore, sustainable budgeting emphasizes the balance between economic profit, social responsibility, and environmental preservation within corporate financial strategies. In conclusion, the synergy between technological innovation, organizational adaptability, and sustainability commitment is essential in developing resilient and relevant budgeting systems in today's dynamic global economy.

INTRODUCTION

Budgeting has evolved from being merely an administrative instrument into a key strategic tool within modern financial systems. In the context of corporate management, budgeting serves not only as a means of planning and control but also as a mechanism for implementing strategies and aligning organizational direction with external environmental changes. Effective budgeting enables organizations to optimally allocate resources, identify risks, and measure financial performance to achieve long-term corporate objectives (Man, 2014b). With the increasing complexity of global markets and technological advancement, companies are challenged to make their budgeting processes more flexible, adaptive, and data-driven.

Strategic budgeting emphasizes the integration between financial planning and the organization's strategic goals. Through this approach, firms not only set revenue and expenditure targets but also ensure that every budgetary component contributes to achieving the company's vision and mission. Furthermore, the Beyond Budgeting approach has emerged as an alternative to traditional models by emphasizing decentralization, innovation, and adaptability to dynamic business environments (Henttu-Aho & Järvinen, 2013). This approach encourages organizations to act more agilely and base decisions on actual performance rather than fixed figures set at the beginning of a fiscal period.

In modern financial systems, technology plays a significant role in strengthening budgeting practices (Man, 2014b). The integration of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems with Artificial Intelligence (AI) and machine learning enables more accurate, real-time financial forecasting, improving resource allocation efficiency and a firm's capability to handle market uncertainties (Sharma et al., 2024). These technologies not only accelerate the budgeting process but also reduce human error and enhance interdepartmental transparency. Consequently, budgeting now serves not merely as an administrative tool but as a dynamic system facilitating data-driven strategic decision-making.

Moreover, strategic budgeting plays an essential role in ensuring long-term sustainability and competitiveness. In an era of globalization and economic fluctuations, companies that adopt performance-based and sustainability-oriented budgeting systems can create enduring economic value while maintaining social and environmental balance (Yilmaz, 2018). This approach, known as sustainable budgeting, considers not only profitability but also the social and ecological impacts of every organizational activity.

Additionally, behavioral and psychological factors have become crucial elements in budgeting practice. According to Li and Erorita (2025), stress and cognitive bias in budgetary decision-making may hinder financial system effectiveness. (Li & Erorita, 2025). Therefore, the implementation of a comprehensive budgeting system must also address human aspects by fostering a collaborative, feedback-based work environment. Thus, strategic budgeting involves not only figures and allocations but also building an adaptive, accountable, and performance-oriented organizational culture.

In facing global economic uncertainties such as pandemics, currency fluctuations, and digital disruptions, strategic budgeting becomes a key mechanism for corporate resilience and competitiveness. Effective budgeting allows firms not only to survive but also to transform toward more robust and sustainable business models (Majeed et al., 2023). Accordingly, this study aims to analyze how strategic budgeting contributes to improving financial performance and corporate adaptability and how the integration of technology and modern approaches to budgeting strengthens a firm's position amid global economic dynamics.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Strategic budgeting represents a financial planning process integrated with an organization's long-term strategy. The main objective of strategic budgeting is to ensure that every financial decision supports the achievement of the company's vision and mission (Farinde, 2025). This approach requires a strong connection between strategic planning and performance control to achieve efficiency and effectiveness in resource utilization (Accounting, n.d.). In practice, strategic budgeting emphasizes flexibility, managerial participation, and adaptation to rapid external environmental changes.

Traditional budgeting systems are often criticized for their static and bureaucratic nature. Adaptive budgeting models, such as Beyond Budgeting, emphasize decentralization, managerial autonomy, and performance-based decision-making (Schmitz et al., 2019). This concept encourages organizations to focus on long-term outcomes and value creation rather than merely achieving annual financial targets. A study by Edo-osagie (Edo-osagie, 2025) found that firms applying adaptive budgeting models demonstrate greater resilience to global economic disruptions.

Technological advancements have significantly transformed budgeting practices. The integration of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP), Artificial Intelligence (AI), and Big Data Analytics enables companies to perform more accurate and real-time financial forecasting (P. Zhang & Wang, n.d.). According to (Farinde, 2025), the application of AI-driven budgeting systems not only accelerates budget preparation but also assists organizations in identifying risks and business opportunities with higher precision. This strengthens the concept of data-driven budgeting, where financial decisions are based on empirical analysis rather than intuition alone.

The concept of sustainable budgeting has gained increasing importance alongside growing global awareness of sustainable development. This approach integrates economic, social, and environmental aspects into financial planning (Nurfitriani & Latif, 2025). In modern corporate contexts, the implementation of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles in budgeting has been proven to enhance investor confidence and create long-term value (Tania et al., 2024). Moreover, many governments worldwide have started adopting green budgeting as a tool to evaluate the environmental impacts of fiscal policies (Aktaş, 2023).

Contemporary literature also highlights the importance of behavioral factors in the budgeting process. Cognitive biases, social pressure, and risk perceptions can influence managerial decisions regarding resource allocation (Juwita & Murti, 2020). According to behavioral accounting theory, effective budgetary decisions require a combination of rational analysis and psychological considerations (Juwita & Murti, 2020). Hence, organizations need to foster a collaborative culture and transparent feedback systems to minimize distortions in financial decision-making.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative approach using library research or a literature review methodology. This approach involves examining various relevant sources, including books, academic journals, scholarly articles, official documents, and other credible references related to the research topic. The primary focus of this method lies in analyzing and interpreting conceptual data derived from the literature rather than conducting field observations or experiments. The researcher actively selects, reviews, and synthesizes various scholarly perspectives to gain a deep and comprehensive understanding of the problem under study. The collected data are analyzed descriptively and analytically by outlining the literature content, identifying main

themes, comparing expert opinions, and drawing conclusions based on logical and theoretical arguments. Through this method, the study aims to enrich academic discourse and make a significant conceptual contribution to the development of theory and practice in the relevant field while ensuring that the findings are grounded in solid academic reasoning and scientific validity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Strategic Budgeting as an Adaptive Instrument for Decision-Making

The evolution of budgeting functions in modern organizations has surpassed their traditional administrative role, becoming a strategic mechanism integrated into decision-making and sustainable value creation. Strategic budgeting serves as an adaptive instrument bridging long-term vision and short-term operational actions. It requires organizations to perceive budgets as dynamic management tools responsive to the complexity and uncertainty of the global business environment. According to Man (2014), strategic budgeting techniques enable automotive companies to link each financial plan to long-term competitive strategies, ensuring that resource allocation is not solely cost-based but value-driven (Man, 2014a). Klychova, Faskhutdinova, and Sadrieva (2014) also discovered that budgeting efficiency is highly influenced by an organization's ability to integrate managerial accounting systems with corporate strategic objectives. (Klychova et al., 2014).

This paradigm shift is further supported by the Beyond Budgeting concept, which rejects the static and hierarchical nature of traditional budgeting systems. Henttu-Aho and Järvinen (2013) argue that this approach promotes decentralization, flexibility, and innovation in decision-making, allowing organizations to adapt quickly to market changes. In this context, budgeting is no longer merely a control tool but also an organizational learning medium. Zaharia (2009) emphasizes that budgeting as a planning and control instrument must be complemented with the ability to predict external trends through comprehensive business intelligence data (Zaharia, 2009). Thus, the budgeting process becomes more responsive, participatory, and aligned with the company's long-term strategy.

The integration between strategic planning and budgeting has become increasingly vital in an era of global economic volatility. Spraakman and O'Grady (2023) highlight that the integration of *ex ante* (planning) and *ex post* (evaluation) mechanisms ensures that every financial decision aligns with the organization's strategic direction (Spraakman & O'Grady, 2023). This perspective underscores the importance of dynamic capabilities, namely a company's ability to build, integrate, and reconfigure internal competencies in response to environmental change. Within this framework, strategic budgeting serves as a primary instrument for developing these capabilities through adaptive planning and continuous evaluation (Yatsenko & Kudriavets, 2019).

Furthermore, the role of information technology and artificial intelligence increasingly strengthens the adaptive function of budgeting. Sharma et al. (2024) demonstrated that the use of machine learning within ERP systems enables more accurate predictions of financial needs and market risks. This data-driven approach supports real-time decision-making, which is essential for modern organizations (Sharma et al., 2024). Similarly, Hyiamang and Liu (2025) emphasized that AI strategies can enhance organizational efficiency and innovation by optimizing resource allocation through predictive analysis. Grobler-Dębska et al. (2025) also confirmed that time-series methods and business intelligence tools assist companies in forward-looking budget planning (Grobler-Dębska et al., 2025). The integration of

these technologies reinforces the position of strategic budgeting as an intelligent system that supports organizational agility.

In practice, the effectiveness of strategic budgeting largely depends on the behavioral and psychological dimensions of decision-makers. Li and Erorita (2025) found that psychological pressure and cognitive bias can affect the accuracy of financial decisions; therefore, the implementation of budgeting systems must take into account aspects of behavioral finance. This aligns with the ideas of Kahneman and Tversky (1974) on heuristics and biases, which reveal that individuals often make decisions based on intuition rather than full rationality. Consequently, adaptive budgeting systems must be designed with effective feedback loops and cross-functional communication mechanisms to ensure that decision-making processes are more objective and collaborative (Bradley, 2008).

Beyond behavioral aspects, sustainability has also become an integral dimension of strategic budgeting. Yilmaz (2018) asserted that budgeting can serve as a tool to promote sustainable development by integrating economic, social, and environmental objectives (Yilmaz, 2018). This was demonstrated in the study by Roigé, Pardo-Bosch, and Pujadas (2023), who applied the Multicriteria MIVES model to ensure that every budget allocation decision aligns with the organization's Sustainable Goals (SGs). This approach positions the budget not merely as a financial instrument but also as a tool of social and environmental responsibility. In the industrial context, Rebrowa et al. (2020) showed that performance-based budgeting enhances the competitiveness and sustainability of mining enterprises through more efficient resource utilization and production process innovation (Rebrowa et al., 2020).

The ability of budgeting to adapt to sectoral needs is also an important factor. In the public sector, Oulasvirta and Rönkkö (2023) emphasized the importance of performance-based budgeting in ensuring accountability and transparency in state financial management. Meanwhile, in the private sector, Zhou et al. (2025) found that strategic budgeting evaluation contributes directly to improving the performance of manufacturing firms in China (Zhao et al., 2023). Mukhina and Deputatova (2015) also highlighted the importance of developing budgeting systems for the Russian electrotechnical industry to enhance integration between production and financial processes.

Finally, strategic budgeting plays a crucial role in maintaining the balance between an organization's short-term and long-term objectives. McCarthy (2004) asserted that organizations overly focused on short-term results tend to lose their strategic direction. Therefore, the implementation of the balanced scorecard and key performance indicators (KPIs) serves as an effective tool to align individual actions with corporate strategies (Davidson, 2011). Hence, strategic budgeting is not merely a financial system but rather a strategic enabler that allows companies to navigate uncertainty, strengthen competitiveness, and achieve long-term sustainability.

Integration of Technology and Sustainability in Modern Budgeting Practices

The integration of technology within modern budgeting systems has become a pivotal milestone in transforming financial management practices in the digital era. Budgeting processes that were once manual and administrative have now evolved into technologically driven systems enhanced by advanced analytics. The implementation of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP), Artificial Intelligence (AI), and machine learning has produced a significant impact on efficiency, accuracy, and transparency in financial management. For instance, ERP systems enable companies to integrate various business functions—ranging from finance and human resources to

operations—into a unified platform that facilitates real-time reporting and monitoring (Yatsenko & Kudriavets, 2019). Consequently, the budgeting process no longer serves solely as an administrative instrument but also as a strategic tool for planning and decision-making.

Beyond improving efficiency, the use of AI and machine learning in budgeting also strengthens the analytical capabilities of organizations in facing business environmental uncertainties. These technologies can analyze historical financial data and market trends in depth, thereby producing data-driven projections and recommendations to support strategic decision-making (Svirko, 2014). AI even allows organizations to conduct simulations of various financial scenarios and operational risks, enabling management to dynamically adjust its strategies. This process is referred to as predictive budgeting, an approach that utilizes predictive analytics to assess potential future outcomes (H. Zhang, 2025). Supported by such systems, human error can be minimized, and decision-making becomes more objective and evidence-based.

However, technological innovation in budgeting focuses not only on efficiency and accuracy but also on sustainability. The concept of sustainable budgeting has emerged in response to the growing awareness of the importance of social and environmental responsibility in every financial decision (Modesto & Marcão, 2025). This approach emphasizes that budgeting should not solely consider short-term profitability but must also evaluate the social and ecological impacts generated by organizational activities. For example, both public and private institutions increasingly adopt the principle of green budgeting, which allocates funds by considering their contribution to climate change mitigation and sustainable development (Roigé et al., 2024). This aligns with the widespread adoption of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) frameworks that demand corporate accountability in balancing economic growth with environmental preservation (Yilmaz, 2018).

Furthermore, sustainable budgeting encourages organizations to create synergy between business strategies and social values. This notion is supported by the Dynamic Capabilities Theory proposed by Teece (2007), which explains that an organization's ability to continuously adapt and reconfigure resources is essential to achieving competitive advantage in an ever-changing environment. Within this context, digital technologies such as ERP and AI serve as the primary drivers that enable efficient adaptation. Through data-based systems, companies can swiftly realign budgetary priorities to meet evolving social and environmental demands—for example, by increasing investment in environmentally friendly projects or corporate social responsibility (CSR) programs.

In addition to adaptability, the integration of technology in budgeting also enhances organizational transparency and accountability. ERP- and blockchain-based systems establish digital audit trails that allow all financial transactions to be securely and transparently traced (Mukhina & Deputatova, 2015). This is crucial for both public and corporate financial governance, which demand openness and the prevention of corruption practices. With these digital systems, every expenditure and fund allocation can be monitored by relevant stakeholders in real time, thereby strengthening public and investor trust in institutional integrity.

The integration of sustainability into modern budgeting has also led to a cultural transformation within organizations. The implementation of financial technology requires new competencies and an adaptive mindset among decision-makers. Therefore, organizations must develop human resources that are not only technically proficient but also aware of sustainability values (Arsalidou, 2023). An

accountable, collaborative, and data-driven work culture forms the cornerstone of modern budget management. In this regard, visionary leadership plays a crucial role in driving digital transformation while ensuring that sustainability principles remain central to every financial policy.

Moreover, the success of integrating technology and sustainability into budgeting systems heavily depends on adequate policy and regulatory support. Governments and financial regulatory bodies play a key role in establishing legal frameworks that promote transparency, efficiency, and environmental accountability. In many developed countries, the concept of performance-based budgeting has been implemented by incorporating sustainability indicators as part of financial performance evaluations (Grobler-Dębska et al., 2025). Thus, budgeting is not only an instrument for measuring economic efficiency but also a public policy tool for achieving sustainable development goals (SDGs).

Overall, the integration of technology and sustainability in modern budgeting practices reflects a new paradigm in financial governance. While digital technology provides speed, precision, and transparency, sustainability principles introduce moral and social dimensions that ensure every financial decision has a positive impact on society and the environment. The combination of these two dimensions not only strengthens an organization's long-term competitiveness but also enhances its reputation and institutional legitimacy in the eyes of the public (Bradley, 2008). Therefore, the future of budgeting will depend on how effectively organizations can balance technological innovation with sustainability commitment—making both pillars central to inclusive and responsible growth strategies.

CONCLUSION

Based on the discussion regarding strategic budgeting as an adaptive instrument and the integration of technology and sustainability in modern budgeting practices, it can be concluded that the budgeting system has undergone a profound transformation—from a mere administrative function into a strategic, adaptive, innovative, and sustainability-oriented instrument.

Modern budgeting now serves as a comprehensive decision-making tool capable of bridging the organization's long-term vision with the dynamic realities of an increasingly complex business environment. Through the implementation of digital technologies such as Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP), Artificial Intelligence (AI), and machine learning, organizations are able to achieve higher efficiency, accuracy, and transparency in financial management.

At the same time, the concept of sustainable budgeting underscores the importance of incorporating social and environmental responsibility as integral components of long-term financial strategies. These two approaches—technological innovation and sustainability—converge to form a resilient and responsive budgeting framework that aligns profitability with ethical, accountable, and environmentally conscious governance.

Hence, the future of budgeting will be determined by how effectively organizations can synergize technological advancement, visionary leadership, and sustainability awareness. By doing so, companies will not only enhance their competitiveness but also contribute to inclusive and responsible economic growth within the increasingly transparent and dynamic landscape of the global economy.

REFERENCES

- Accounting, C. (n.d.). *COLIN DRURY Management and Cost Accounting*.
Aktaş, E. (2023). *Green Budgeting and Long-Term Fiscal Sustainability* (pp. 31–48).

- Arsalidou, D. (2023). Promoting Long-Term Sustainable Company Growth through Section 172 Reporting and Loyalty-Driven Benefits. *Journal of Business Law*, 2023(5), 390–406.
- Bradley, L. S. (2008). Budgeting-or refusing to budge? How budget workshops can reduce the pain. *Healthcare Financial Management: Journal of the Healthcare Financial Management Association*, 62(3), 56–59.
- Davidson, P. (2011). Keeping a meaningful score. *Industrial Engineer*, 43(8), 37–41.
- Edo-osagie, E. (2025). *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews Advanced Budgeting and Dynamic Allocation Strategies for Maximizing Financial Resilience Amid Economic Volatility and Market Shifts*. March. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.6.0325.1130>
- Farinde, O. (2025). *Integrating predictive analytics, machine learning, and scenario-based forecasting for precision-driven resource optimization and budget planning*. 658–677. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.25.3.0339>
- Grobler-Dębska, K., Mularczyk, R., Gawęda, B., & Kucharska, E. (2025). Time Series Methods and Business Intelligent Tools for Budget Planning—Case Study. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app15010287>
- Henttu-Aho, T., & Järvinen, J. (2013). A Field Study of the Emerging Practice of Beyond Budgeting in Industrial Companies: An Institutional Perspective. *European Accounting Review*, 22(4), 765–785. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2012.758596>
- Juwita, R., & Murti, G. (2020). *Behavior Aspect of Public Sector Planning and Budgeting (A Literature Review)*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.200522.038>
- Klychova, G. S., Faskhutdinova, M. S., & Sadrieva, E. R. (2014). Budget efficiency for cost control purposes in management accounting system. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(24), 79–83. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n24p>
- Li, L., & Erorita, S. M. (2025). The psychological impact of budgeting on financial decision-makers: Implications for comprehensive budget management systems. *Environment and Social Psychology*, 10(3). <https://doi.org/10.59429/esp.v10i3.3312>
- Majeed, A. H., Kosov, M., Fiume, R., Kuznetsov, N., Vasyunina, M., & Semin, A. (2023). Improving the Efficiency of Budgeting in Industrial Enterprise: The case of Russia, Italy, and the Middle East. *Emerging Science Journal*, 7(1), 177–189. <https://doi.org/10.28991/ESJ-2023-07-01-013>
- Man, M. (2014a). Budgeting technique of strategic management. In *Systemic Approaches to Strategic Management: Examples from the Automotive Industry* (pp. 328–362). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-6481-4.ch012>
- Man, M. (2014b). Use of budgets to elaborate the strategy of industrial production costs. In *Systemic Approaches to Strategic Management: Examples from the Automotive Industry* (pp. 363–395). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-6481-4.ch013>
- Modesto, D., & Marcão, R. P. (2025). Managing Capital Allocation for Better Technology Integration Outcomes in Healthcare Companies. In *Design, Development, and Deployment of Cutting-Edge Medical Devices* (pp. 137–156). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-8598-2.ch006>

- Mukhina, E. R., & Deputatova, L. N. (2015). The development of budgeting system for electrotechnical plants of Perm Region of Russian Federation. *Biosciences Biotechnology Research Asia*, 12(2), 1751–1758. <https://doi.org/10.13005/bbra/1839>
- Nurfitriani, N., & Latif, I. N. (2025). *Journal of Accounting and Strategic Finance Sustainable Capital Budgeting: Assessing Long-Term Effects Beyond Profitability*. 8(1), 133–151.
- Rebrowa, N., Kovalev, A., Frik, O., & Sargsyan, G. (2020). Budgeting as an Instrument of Increasing Competitiveness and Sustainability of Mining Enterprise. In V. S., C. M., J. J., S. M., N. D., S. D., P. M., T. Y., & A. A. (Eds.), *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 174). EDP Sciences. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202017404054>
- Roigé, N., Pardo-Bosch, F., & Pujadas, P. (2024). Achieving Sustainable Goals Using an Effective Budget-Allocation Multicriteria Mives Model: Case Study of a Spanish Water Utility Company. *Water Resources Management*, 38(13), 5143–5160. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-024-03905-w>
- Schmitz, S., Agnieszka, M., & Heupel, T. (2019). Beyond Budgeting – A Fair Alternative for Management Control? - Examining the Relationships between Beyond Budgeting and Organizational Justice Perceptions. *Studies in Business and Economics*, 14, 160–180. <https://doi.org/10.2478/sbe-2019-0032>
- Sharma, S., Sarkar, P., Rajalakshmi, B., Lakhanpal, S., Sumalatha, I., & Joshi, A. (2024). Machine Learning-based Predictive Analytics for Financial Planning and Budgeting in ERP Systems. *Proceedings of International Conference on Communication, Computer Sciences and Engineering, IC3SE 2024*, 1558–1563. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IC3SE62002.2024.10593246>
- Spraakman, G., & O’Grady, W. (2023). STRATEGIC PLANNING AND BUDGETING: A SINGLE INTEGRATED PROCESS WITH EX ANTE AND EX POST ALIGNMENTS. In *Advances in Management Accounting* (Vol. 35, pp. 19–44). Emerald Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-787120230000035002>
- Svirko, S. V. (2014). Budget accounting modernization as a tool for successful integration of national economy into world economy. *Actual Problems of Economics*, 155(5), 478–484.
- Tania, J., Putri, A., & Muharam, H. (2024). Integrating ESG Metrics into Corporate Strategy: Analysing the Long-term Value and Profitability. *Research Horizon*, 5, 21–32. <https://doi.org/10.54518/rh.5.1.2025.446>
- Yatsenko, V., & Kudriavets, Y. (2019). Budgeting integration with a business strategy and accounting system in business process management - Case study of ukrainian company. In E. V., M. F., Y. V., Y. V., K. V., K. V., K. A., K. H., N. M., S. S., & S. A. (Eds.), *CEUR Workshop Proceedings* (Vol. 2393, pp. 379–392). CEUR-WS.
- Yilmaz, F. (2018). Budgeting as a tool for sustainable development. In *Handbook of Research on Supply Chain Management for Sustainable Development* (pp. 42–60). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-5757-9.ch003>
- Zaharia, G.-M. (2009). The budget-instrument of financial planning and control in firms. *Metalurgia International*, 14(SPEC. ISS. 10), 182–184.
- Zhang, H. (2025). The psychological impact of budgeting on financial decision-makers: Implications for comprehensive budget management systems. *Environment and Social Psychology*, 10(3). <https://doi.org/10.59429/esp.v10i3.3321>
- Zhang, P., & Wang, Y. (n.d.). *Digital transformation: A systematic review and bibliometric analysis from the corporate finance perspective* *Digital*

transformation : A systematic review and bibliometric analysis from the corporate finance perspective.

Zhao, N., Ren, J., & Chen, X. (2023). Strategic Resource Allocation in Project Management: A Fusion of ERM and Financial Insights in the Financial Sector. *Journal of Commercial Biotechnology*, 28(1), 177–185. <https://doi.org/10.5912/jcb1105>